

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
AT KNOXVILLE

LORETTA MURRAY, *et al*,)
Plaintiffs,)
v.)
CITY OF HARRIMAN, *et al*,)
Defendants.)

No. 3:04-CV-501
(Phillips/Guyton)

ORDER

This matter is before the Court upon defendants' motion to strike [Doc. 59]. Plaintiffs have filed a reply to the defendants' reply [Doc. 54]. Defendants correctly cite and argue E.D. TN. L.R 7.1(a), which essentially sets forth the briefing schedule and states that a plaintiff is not entitled to file another reply without first obtaining permission from the Court. In light of the above, defendants' motion to strike [Doc. 59] is **GRANTED**. At this time, however, costs are not to be taxed to plaintiffs.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

ENTER:

s/Thomas W. Phillips
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE